

A Mechanism of Removal of Violations of Ethics of Publications and Unconscientious Practice is in Connection with Publications

1. Editors (or readers) must accept clever measures, when they suspect or see any violations of ethics of publication. It touches the both published and unpublished articles.
2. Editors have no authority to give up investigation of the set ethic violations. It them ethic duty. In these cases they, first of all, must get an answer from the accused authors.
3. Editors make effort for providing of the proper realization of investigation and carry out structural attempts for the decision of this problem.
4. If **an editor (or reviewer)** educed dishonesty in materials of the article, he must operate according to norms, set by Committee on ethics of publications, and in accordance with the specific of the educed violations. If you:
 - set the repeated publication (doublet of publication);
 - found [the forged data](#) in the presented manuscript;
 - suspect the presence of [the conflict of interests](#) unexposed in the presented article;
 - saw [an ethic problem](#) in the presented manuscript;
 - wish to get [advices in relation to the exposure of problems of authorship](#);
 - got the request of author to add the last name of coauthor before the publication;
 - got the request of author to clean the last name of coauthor before a publication;
 - got a query about moving away of the last name of coauthor after the publication;
 - got a query about introduction of [the last name of author after a publication](#);
 - suspect, that the offered [last name in reality does not answer co-authorship, is an original gift for him](#).
5. If **readers** educed dishonesty in publications, they must operate after next algorithms in each of the below presented cases after a separate flow-chart. If:
 - suspected [plagiarism in the published article](#);
 - suspect the presence of [the forged data of the published article](#);
 - suspect a presence [unexposed at the conflict of interests](#) in the published article;
 - consider that [a reviewer appropriated an authorial scientific idea or data](#);
 - wish to get [advices in relation to the exposure of problems of authorship](#).