

## **Basic grounds for finishing off the article**

Basic grounds for the improvement of the article are considered the next:

1. The article does not contain summary in Ukrainian, Russian and English languages, or in case of its presence it does not answer the set requirements as to the amount of signs.

2. The structure of the article does not answer requirements (reference).

3. A table of article contents is not enough detailed for readers, that they to a full degree were able to understand the approach, offered by an author.

4. The article does not contain a scientific novelty.

5. In the article it is not marked clearly, what part of text or conclusions represents an innovation in science, unlike that it is already known.

6. If any breaking of the author's rights of other scientists have been revealed (interference in their intellectual ownership, rudeness in citations, absence of references etc.).

7. The manuscript does not confirm the adequacy of the given facts and dates, and unsubstantiated conclusions.

8. The list of literature does not contain scientific sources, the last names of authors which are remembered in text of the article.

9. The article contains theories, conceptions, empiric materials, conclusions and others like that, which are fully indehiscent and not confirmed by the presented data, arguments or given information.

10. The article does not provide sufficient description of methods and materials which in case of necessity would allow other scientists to repeat an experiment.

11. In the article the clear descriptions or explanations are absent, for example hypotheses which were checked up, maintenance and essence of experiments, examples of statistical data or experimental selections and others like that.

12. Procedure of the conducted experiment is abortively and incomprehensibly described in the article, errors are suffered in statistical indexes.

13. The article does not correspond the norms of culture and oral and writing speech.

14. Transliteration of the list of used literature is absent.

15. Native and foreign scientific resources of psycholinguistic profile that reflect new researches are absent in the literature list.